

PWNT Review Procedure

1. To evaluate the publication, the Publishing College appoints a team of independent Reviewers (from two to four or more), from outside the unit affiliated by the author of the publication.
2. Reviewers are specialists in a given scientific field and have the status of an independent researcher (habilitated doctor or full professor).
3. In special cases, e.g. books with a high level of technological advancement, it is allowed to invite one reviewer with a doctoral degree and many years of experience in a given field.
4. Reviewers sign a declaration of no conflict of interest.
5. The peer-review process is confidential. The authors of the publication do not know the identity of the reviewers (except for the doctoral process).
6. Reviewers evaluate the work in accordance with the guidelines, which pay particular attention to, inter alia, care for the high archival value of the proposed work, compliance of the title with the subject of the book, distinction in the title and summary of the work of its innovative values. In the written assessment, reviewers also refer to the scientific value, technical quality, and provide detailed substantive and editorial comments. They give a separate opinion on the decision whether the paper is suitable for publication in the presented form or after the introduction of corrections (editorial or substantive), and whether a re-review of the corrected work is needed.
7. The author is obliged to respond in writing to the comments contained in the reviews, which may be made available to the reviewers.
8. Positive reviews are the condition for the publication to be printed. In justified cases, the Publishing College may refer the manuscript for a repeated or additional review.
9. The final decision on accepting the publication for printing is made by the Publishing College.
10. The list of Reviewers is published on the website of the PWNT Publisher (<http://www.konsulting.gda.pl/pwnt.html>).